CAMBRIDGE
International Education

# Cambridge International AS & A Level

**BIOLOGY** **9700/51**

Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation **May/June 2025**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 30

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2025 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.



© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

**PUBLISHED**

## Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| |
|---|
| GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:<br><br>Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen). |
| GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:<br><br>Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind. |

**Science-Specific Marking Principles**

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Examiners should consider the context and scientific use of any keywords when awarding marks. Although keywords may be present, marks should not be awarded if the keywords are used incorrectly. |
| 2 | The examiner should not choose between contradictory statements given in the same question part, and credit should not be awarded for any correct statement that is contradicted within the same question part. Wrong science that is irrelevant to the question should be ignored. |
| 3 | Although spellings do not have to be correct, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. ethane / ethene, glucagon / glycogen, refraction / reflection). |
| 4 | The error carried forward (ecf) principle should be applied, where appropriate. If an incorrect answer is subsequently used in a scientifically correct way, the candidate should be awarded these subsequent marking points. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted. |
| 5 | ‘List rule’ guidance<br><br>For questions that require ***n*** responses (e.g. State **two** reasons …):<br><br>• The response should be read as continuous prose, even when numbered answer spaces are provided.<br>• Any response marked *ignore* in the mark scheme should not count towards ***n***.<br>• Incorrect responses should not be awarded credit but will still count towards ***n***.<br>• Read the entire response to check for any responses that contradict those that would otherwise be credited. Credit should **not** be awarded for any responses that are contradicted within the rest of the response. Where two responses contradict one another, this should be treated as a single incorrect response.<br>• Non-contradictory responses after the first ***n*** responses may be ignored even if they include incorrect science. |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

6 Calculation specific guidance

Correct answers to calculations should be given full credit even if there is no working or incorrect working, **unless** the question states 'show your working'.

For questions in which the number of significant figures required is not stated, credit should be awarded for correct answers when rounded by the examiner to the number of significant figures given in the mark scheme. This may not apply to measured values.

For answers given in standard form (e.g. $a \times 10^n$) in which the convention of restricting the value of the coefficient ($a$) to a value between 1 and 10 is not followed, credit may still be awarded if the answer can be converted to the answer given in the mark scheme.

Unless a separate mark is given for a unit, a missing or incorrect unit will normally mean that the final calculation mark is not awarded. Exceptions to this general principle will be noted in the mark scheme.

7 Guidance for chemical equations

Multiples / fractions of coefficients used in chemical equations are acceptable unless stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

State symbols given in an equation should be ignored unless asked for in the question or stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

**Annotations guidance for centres**

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

**Annotations**

| Annotation | Meaning |
|---|---|
|  | correct point or mark awarded |
|  | correct awarding one mark from marking point or marking group 1.<br>similar numbered ticks are used for marking point or marking groups 2, 3, 4 etc. |
|  | incorrect point or mark not awarded |
|  | working towards marking point |
|  | information missing or insufficient for credit |
|  | used to highlight part of an extended response |
|  | used to highlight part of an extended response |
|  | allow or accept |
|  | benefit of the doubt given |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Annotation | Meaning |
|---|---|
| BP | blank page |
| CON | contradiction in response, mark not awarded |
| ECF | error carried forward applied |
| PAG | marking point already given |
| I | incorrect or insufficient point ignored while marking the rest of the response |
| IRRL | irrelevant material that does not answer the question |
| NBOD | benefit of doubt was considered, but the response was decided to not be sufficiently close for benefit of doubt to be applied |
| MR | maximum mark reached |
| O | or reverse argument |
| R | incorrect point or mark not awarded |
| SEEN | point has been noted, but no credit has been given<br>or<br>blank page seen |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

**Mark scheme abbreviations**

| | |
|---|---|
| **;** | separates marking points |
| **/** | alternative answers for the same point |
| **A** | accept (for answers correctly cued by the question, or by extra guidance) |
| **R** | reject |
| **I** | ignore |
| **( )** | the word / phrase in brackets is not required, but sets the context |
| **AW** | alternative wording (where responses vary more than usual) |
| **underline** | actual word given must be used by candidate (grammatical variants accepted) |
| **max** | indicates the maximum number of marks that can be given |
| **ora** | or reverse argument |
| **mp** | marking point (with relevant number) |
| **ecf** | error carried forward |
| **AVP** | alternative valid point |


© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 1(a)(i) | *any **one** from:*<br><br>1 calibrate colorimeter **;**<br><br>2 use, a blank / AW, to set (colorimeter absorbance) to zero **;** | **1** |
| 1(a)(ii) | 1 *rate of change in absorbance at pH 2.0* **=**<br>$0.0036 / 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ **;**<br><br>2 *working:*<br>(0.52–0.23) / (120–40)<br>**or**<br>0.29 / 80 **;**<br><br>3 *units:*<br>au $s^{-1}$ **;** | **3** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>1(b)(i)</td><td>
any seven from:<br><br>
1 prepare (at least) five solutions with stated pH values between pH 0 and 6.5 ;<br><br>
2 same / stated, volume / concentration, of pepsin (solution) used ;<br><br>
3 method to maintain a, constant / stated, temperature ;<br><br>
4 equilibrate / AW, all (pepsin, pH / buffer / albumen) solutions separately (before mixing) ;<br><br>
5 method to mix, pepsin and pH (buffer) albumen (solutions, before adding to colorimeter) ;<br><br>
6 at each pH, measure / note / record / AW, absorbance at, set / stated, time intervals ;<br><br>
7 use at least three replicates for each pH and calculate a mean rate (of change in absorbance / of protein hydrolysis, for each pH) ;<br><br>
8 method to identify the optimum pH ;<br><br>
9 idea that repeat experiment with smaller pH intervals around the optimum pH ;<br><br>
10 safety comment with named hazard and risk and precaution ;<br><br>
<table>
<tr><th>hazard</th><th>risk</th><th>precaution</th></tr>
<tr><td>albumen / eggs</td><td>allergy / irritant</td><td>gloves / goggles / mask / PPE</td></tr>
<tr><td>pH buffer</td><td>irritant / corrosive (at pH 0, 1, 2)</td><td>gloves / goggles / mask / PPE</td></tr>
<tr><td>pepsin</td><td>allergy / irritant</td><td>gloves / goggles / mask / PPE</td></tr>
</table>
</td><td>7</td></tr>
</table>

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 1(b)(ii) | 1 correct *y*-axis label **;** e.g. rate of protein hydrolysis / au $s^{-1}$<br><br>2 appropriately shaped line **;**<br><br> | **2** |

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 1(c)(i) | *any **two** from:*<br><br>1 test condition **2**, decreases / hydrolyses / AW, CA3 and Sep70 **;**<br><br>2 *idea that* acid / pH 4.0, with no active pepsin, does not change CA3 and Sep70 **;**<br><br>3 (mass / size / AW of) CA3 is smaller than Sep70 **; ora** | **2** |
| 1(c)(ii) | *any **two** from:*<br><br>1 *idea that* pepsin only causes damage (to the laryngeal epithelium) if (hydrochloric) acid / pH 4.0, is also present **;**<br><br>2 investigation, only carried out once / was not repeated **;**<br><br>3 *idea that* no information on species of mammal used (in investigation)<br>**or**<br>*idea that* investigation, not carried out in a person / carried out in a laboratory **;**<br><br>4 *idea that* a reduction in quantity of Sep70 and CA3 may not cause damage to the laryngeal epithelium **;** | **2** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 2(a)(i) | number of insect attraction events (in 6 hours) **;** | **1** |
| 2(a)(ii) | *any **two** from:*<br>1 size / length / AW (of model / body / legs) **;**<br>2 shape / AW (of model) **;**<br>3 material / AW (of models) **;**<br>4 thickness / weight / mass / density / AW (of models) **;**<br>5 yellow, colour / shade / tone / pigment / AW (of models) **;**<br>6 light, absorption / reflection / AW (of models)<br>7 size of spots (on model **A** and **B**) **;**<br>8 (models are) odourless / AW **;** | **2** |
| 2(a)(iii) | (measure insect attraction rate of) web with no model **;** | **1** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 2(b)(i) | *any **four** from:*<br><br>*effect of colour and pattern:*<br>1 *idea that* yellow colour (models **A**, **C** and **D**), have high insect attraction rates **;**<br><br>2 *idea that* pattern (of spots) is less important than colour (for attracting insects) **; ora**<br><br>*using information from Fig. 2.2:*<br>3 *idea that*, (standard) error bars for yellow (colour, models **A**, **C**, **D**) and not yellow (colour, models **B**, **E**), do not overlap, (therefore insect attraction rate may be) significantly different **;**<br><br>4 *idea that*, (standard) error bars for models **A**, **C**, and **D** overlap, (therefore insect attraction rate) is not significantly different (for yellow models with different patterns) **;**<br>**or**<br>*idea that*, (standard) error bars for models **B**, and **E** overlap, therefore insect attraction rate is not significantly different (for black models with no yellow colour) **;**<br><br>5 data quote, with units, to support **;** | **4** |
| 2(b)(ii) | there is no difference between, the (mean) insect attraction rates, of the models **;** | **1** |
| 2(b)(iii) | *any **two** from:*<br><br>1 data is continuous **;**<br><br>2 comparing (two) means **;**<br><br>3 fewer than 30 values **;**<br><br>4 data is (from populations that are) normally distributed **;** | **2** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 2(c) | *any **two** from:*<br><br>1 do investigation in, more than one, forest / geographical area / AW **;**<br><br>2 *idea of* do investigation, at different times of the day / AW **;**<br><br>3 do investigation in, more than one, month / season / year **;**<br><br>4 *idea that* only count insects that touch the, model / web **;**<br><br>5 use (more) models with different, colours / patterns<br>**or**<br>use 3–dimensional model (instead of, flat / 2–dimensional, model) **;**<br><br>6 *idea of* (video) living spider on web **;** | **2** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025